

United States Department of the Interior

PRIDE IN AMERICA

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE FEDERAL BUILDING, FORT SNELLING TWIN CITIES, MINNESOTA 55111

IN REPLY REFER TO:

FWS/AFWE-SE

June 5, 1991

Dear Kirtland's Warbler Supporter:

The Region 3 Endangered Species Division will be moving into a new area of rare species conservation in the next few months. Our plan is to evaluate the use of Population Viability Analysis (PVA) on a number of species later this year and continuing into 1992. If the evaluation is positive we will subsequently adopt this methodology as an operational tool. Trying the PVA approach on Kirtland's warbler will be the first step in our evaluation. (See the enclosures for a description of the PVA process.)

There are a number of reasons for selecting Kirtland's warbler as our first application of PVA. First, because the warbler is one of the most studied of the federally listed species in the Region, we will benefit from having reliable values for many of the parameters considered in the PVA models. Second, we are at a point in warbler management where there is serious discussion on the advisability, and feasibility, of fostering a second warbler population in Wisconsin. We need to have a better idea of the necessary size and habitat needs of a second population to ensure its viability over the long term. Third, the recovery program continues to be clearly based upon a goal of 1000 nesting pairs, yet that number is not supported by any firm data or recent analysis. Thus, we concluded the species is a good subject for PVA, and the PVA is likely to provide timely answers which will benefit the species.

In addition to analyzing the present situation and future goals as part of the evaluation of the long term viability of the species under the current management scenario, the PVA will also allow us to "play" with various parameters to see how long term population viability can be enhanced most effectively. For example, we can change the values of certain parameters within the model to simulate increased habitat quantity, or alternatively, increase habitat quality and thus secondarily increase the frequency of polygyny and reproductive success. Such manipulations should allow us to judge the advisability of continuing current recovery activities or to consider shifting the emphasis somehow.